

U.S. Application No. 10/675,090, filed September 30, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 14445US02  
Response dated September 22, 2008  
In Response to Office Action mailed May 20, 2008

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-22 are pending. Claims 1-22 are rejected.

Claims 1, 4-8, 10 and 12-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent No. 5,659,366 (“Kerman”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,150,031 B1 (“Rodriguez”) and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,065,778 B1 (“Lu”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for at least the reasons as set forth below.

In order to expedite prosecution and/or to further clarify the subject matter therein, Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 12 and 15.

Independent claim 1 recites, in part, “wherein the at least one communication device is operable to push the media content including at least a portion of the newly accessible media and a plurality of media channels including a personal media channel that is constructed at the first private home and that provides access to personal media content that is personally generated at the first private home from the first private home to a second private home, and wherein, at the second private home, the newly accessible media content and the plurality of media channels including the personal media channel are displayed in a personal media guide”.

Independent claim 12 recites, in part, “wherein the at least one processor is operable to push the media content including at least a portion of the newly accessible media and a plurality of media channels including a personal media channel that is constructed at the first private home and that provides access to personal media content that is personally generated at the first private home from the first private home to a second private home”.

Independent claim 15 recites, in part, “wherein the communication device is operable to push media content including at least a portion of the newly accessible media and a plurality of media channels including a personal media channel that is constructed at the first private home and that provides access to personal media content that is personally generated at the first private home from the first private home to a second private home, and wherein, at the second private home, the newly accessible media content, the plurality of media channels including the personal media

U.S. Application No. 10/675,090, filed September 30, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 14445US02  
Response dated September 22, 2008  
In Response to Office Action mailed May 20, 2008

channel and a plurality of broadcast media channels are displayed in a user media guide”.

It is respectfully submitted that the combination of Kerman, Rodriguez and Lu, as alleged in the Office Action, does not present a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

For at least the above reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn with respect to claims 1, 4-8, 10 and 12-18.

Claims 2, 3, 9, 11 and 19-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Kerman in view of Rodriguez and further in view of Lu and still further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,233,781 B2 (“Hunter”). Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection for at least the reasons as set forth below.

In view of at least the above with respect to claim 1, it is submitted that the combination of Kerman, Rodriguez, Lu and Hunter, as alleged in the Office Action, does not present a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

In order to expedite prosecution and/or to further clarify the subject matter therein, Applicants have amended independent claim 19.

Independent claim 19 recites, in part, “wherein the communication device is operable to push media content including at least a portion of the newly accessible media and a plurality of media channels including a personal media channel that is constructed at the first private home and that provides access to personal media content that is personally generated at the first private home from the first private home to a second private home”.

It is respectfully submitted that the combination of Kerman, Rodriguez, Lu and Hunter, as alleged in the Office Action, does not present a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

For at least the above reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be withdrawn with respect to claims 2, 3, 9, 11 and 19-22.

Applicants do not necessarily agree or disagree with the Examiner’s characterization of the documents made of record, either alone or in combination, or the Examiner’s characterization of recited claim elements. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully reserve the right to argue the

U.S. Application No. 10/675,090, filed September 30, 2003  
Attorney Docket No. 14445US02  
Response dated September 22, 2008  
In Response to Office Action mailed May 20, 2008

characterization of the documents of record, either alone or in combination, to argue what is allegedly well known, allegedly obvious or allegedly disclosed, or the characterization of the recited claim elements should that need arise in the future.

Applicants also reserve the right to pursue, without prejudice, subject matter that has been cancelled or amended in a related or continuing application.

With respect to the present application, Applicants hereby rescind any disclaimer of claim scope made in the parent application or any predecessor or related application. The Examiner is advised that any previous disclaimer of claim scope, if any, and the alleged prior art that it was made to allegedly avoid, may need to be revisited. Nor should a disclaimer of claim scope, if any, in the present application be read back into any predecessor or related application.

In view of at least the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. Should anything remain in order to place the present application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is kindly invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, to charge any fee deficiencies or to credit any overpayments to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Date: September 22, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/Michael T. Cruz/  
Michael T. Cruz  
Reg. No. 44,636

McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.  
500 West Madison Street, Suite 3400  
Chicago, Illinois 60661  
Telephone: (312) 775-8000  
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100